arXiv:0910.3764v1 [nlin.SI] 20 Oct 2009

Diagonalization of boundary transfer matrix for the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model

October 20, 2009

Takeo KOJIMA

Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University,
Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0062, JAPAN

Abstract

We construct a free field realization of the ground state of the boundary transfer matrix for the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model. Using this ground state and type-II vertex operator, we have a diagonalization of the boundary transfer matrix.

1 Introduction

The vertex operator approach [1, 2] provides a powerful method to study solvable models. In [1] authors diagonalized the XXZ-transfer matrix on infinite spin chain by vertex operators. Sklyanin [3] begin systematic approach to boundary condition generalization in the framework of the algebraic Bethe ansatz. In periodic boundary condition, we construct a family of commuting transfer matrix from a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation. Sklyanin showed that similar construction is possible with the aid of a solution of the boundary Yang-Baxter equation. In open boundary condition, we construct a family of commuting transfer matrix from both a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation and a solution of the boundary Yang-Baxter equation. In

[6] the authors diagonalized the boundary XXZ-transfer matrix on semi-infinite spin chain by vertex operators. The vertex operator approach was extended to higher-rank boundary XXZ-model in [9]

The vertex operator approach to solvable model was originally formulated for vertex type model such as the XXZ-model [1], and then extended to the face type model such as the Andrews-Baxter-Forrester (ABF) model [4, 10]. The ABF model is described by the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$. The $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model we are going to study in this paper were introduced in [5], as the higher-rank generlization of the ABF model introduced in [4]. In this paper we diagonalize the boundary transfer matrix for the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model by vertex operator approach. We construct a free field realization of the ground-state of the commuting boundary transfer matrix. Using this groundstate and type-II vertex operators for the elliptic algebra, we get a diagonalization of the boundary transfer matrix of the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model. The result of this paper gives a higher-rank generalization of the ABF model [7].

The text is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the boundary $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model, and introduce the boundary transfer matrix. In section 3 we recall the free field realization of the vertex operators. In section 4 we construct a free field realization of the ground-state of the boundary transfer matrix, and give a diagonalization of the boundary transfer matrix by using the type-II vertex opeartors.

2 Boundary $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model

We recall the boundary $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model.

2.1 Bulk Boltzmann weights

The $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model has two parameters x and r. We assume $0 < x < 1$ and $r \geqq 5$ $(r \in \mathbb{Z})$. We set the elliptic theta function $[u]$ by

$$[u] = x^{\frac{u^2}{r}-u}\Theta_{x^{2r}}(x^{2u}). \tag{2.1}$$

Here we have used

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_q(z) &= (q,q)_\infty (z;q)_\infty (q/z;q)_\infty, & (2.2)\\ (z;q_1,q_2,\cdots,q_m)_\infty &= \prod_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_m=0}^{\infty} (1-q_1^{j_1}q_2^{j_2}\cdots q_m^{j_m}z). & (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon_\mu (1 \leqq \mu \leqq 3)$ be the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the inner product $(\epsilon_\mu|\epsilon_\nu) = \delta_{\mu,\nu}$. Let us set $\bar{\epsilon}_\mu = \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon$ where $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{\nu=1}^3 \epsilon_\nu$. The type $\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C})$ weight lattice is the linear span of $\bar{\epsilon}_\mu$.

$$P = \sum_{\mu=1}^3 \mathbb{Z}\bar{\epsilon}_\mu. \tag{2.4}$$

Note $\sum_{\mu=1}^3 \bar{\epsilon}_\mu = 0$. Let the simple root $\alpha_\mu = \bar{\epsilon}_\mu - \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu+1}$ $(\mu = 1, 2)$. For $a \in P$ we set

$$a_{\mu,\nu} = a_\mu - a_\nu, \quad a_\mu = (a + \rho|\bar{\epsilon}_\mu), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\rho = 2\bar{\epsilon}_1 + \bar{\epsilon}_2$. The Boltzmann weights $W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} a & b & \\ & & u \\ c & d & \end{array}\right)$ are given by

$$W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} a+2\bar{\epsilon}_\mu & a+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu & \\ & & u \\ a+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu & a & \end{array}\right) = r_1(u), \tag{2.6}$$

$$W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} a+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu+\bar{\epsilon}_\nu & a+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu & \\ & & u \\ a+\bar{\epsilon}_\nu & a & \end{array}\right) = r_1(u)\frac{[u][a_{\mu,\nu}-1]}{[u-1][a_{\mu,\nu}]}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} a+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu+\bar{\epsilon}_\nu & a+\bar{\epsilon}_\nu & \\ & & u \\ a+\bar{\epsilon}_\nu & a & \end{array}\right) = r_1(u)\frac{[u-a_{\mu,\nu}][1]}{[u-1][a_{\mu,\nu}]}. \tag{2.8}$$

Otherwise are zero. The function $r_1(u)$ is given by

$$r_1(u) \quad = \quad z^{\frac{r-1}{r}\frac{2}{3}}\frac{h_1(z^{-1})}{h(z)}, \quad h_1(z) = \frac{(x^2z;x^{2r},x^6)_\infty(x^{2r+4}z;x^{2r},x^6)_\infty}{(x^{2r}z;x^{2r},x^6)_\infty(x^6z;x^{2r},x^6)_\infty}. \tag{2.9}$$

Here we have used $z = x^{2u}$. The Boltzmann weights satisfy the following relations.

(1)Yang-Baxter equation :

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_g W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} d & e & \\ & & u_1 \\ c & g & \end{array}\right) W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & g & \\ & & u_2 \\ b & a & \end{array}\right) W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} e & f & \\ & & u_1-u_2 \\ g & a & \end{array}\right) \\ = &\sum_g W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} g & f & \\ & & u_1 \\ b & a & \end{array}\right) W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} d & e & \\ & & u_2 \\ g & f & \end{array}\right) W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} d & g & \\ & & u_1-u_2 \\ c & b & \end{array}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

(2)The first inversion relation :

$$\sum_g W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & g & \\ & & -u \\ b & a & \end{array}\right) W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & d & \\ & & u \\ g & a & \end{array}\right) = \delta_{b,d}. \tag{2.11}$$

(3)The second inversion relation :

$$\sum_g G_g W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} g & b & \\ & & 3-u \\ d & c & \end{array}\right) W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} g & d & \\ & & u \\ b & a & \end{array}\right) = \delta_{a,c}\frac{G_bG_d}{G_a}. \tag{2.12}$$

where $G_a = [a_{1,2}][a_{1,3}][a_{2,3}]$. The Boltzmann weights are related to the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$.

2.2 Boundary Boltzmann weights

In [8] the boundary Boltzmann weights $K\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} & b & \\ a & & u \\ & c & \end{array}\right)$ are given by

$$K\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} & k & \\ k+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu & & u \\ & k & \end{array}\right) = z^{\frac{2}{r}(-\frac{r-1}{3}+(\bar{\epsilon}_1|k))}\frac{h(z)}{h(z^{-1})}\frac{[c-u][k_{1,\mu}+c+u]}{[c+u][k_{1,\mu}+c-u]},\ (c\in\mathbb{R};\mu=1,2,3). \quad (2.13)$$

Otherwise are zero. The function $h(z)$ is given in (4.18). They satisfy the boundary Yang-Baxter equation,

$$\sum_{f,g} W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & f & \\ b & a & u-v \end{array}\right) W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & d & \\ f & g & u+v \end{array}\right) K\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} & g & \\ f & & u \\ & a & \end{array}\right) K\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} & e & \\ d & & v \\ & g & \end{array}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{f,g} W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & d & \\ f & e & u-v \end{array}\right) W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & f & \\ b & g & u+v \end{array}\right) K\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} & e & \\ f & & u \\ & g & \end{array}\right) K\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} & g & \\ b & & v \\ & a & \end{array}\right). \quad (2.14)$$

2.3 Vertex operator

Following the general scheme of algebraic approach in solvable lattice models, we give the type-I vertex operators. Let us consider the corner transfer matrices $A(z), B(z), C(z), D(z)$ which represent NW, SW, SE, NE quadrants, respectively. The space $\mathcal{H}_{l,k}$ of the eigenvectors of $A(z)$ is parametrized by $l, k \in P$. Let us introduce the type-I vertex operator $\Phi^{(a,b)}(z)$. We denote by $\Phi^{(k+\bar{\epsilon}_j,k)}(z^{-1})$ the half-infinite transfer matrix extending to infinity in the north. This is an operator

$$\Phi^{(k+\bar{\epsilon}_j,k)}(z^{-1}) : \mathcal{H}_{l,k} \to \mathcal{H}_{l,k+\bar{\epsilon}_j}.$$

The opeartor $\Phi^{(a,b)}(z) = 0$ for $a - b \neq \bar{\epsilon}_j$. Similarily, we introduce dual type-I vertex operator $\Phi^{*(a,b)}(z)$. We denote by $\Phi^{*(k,k+\bar{\epsilon}_j)}(z)$ the half-infinite transfer matrix extending to infinity in the west. This is an operator

$$\Phi^{*(k,k+\bar{\epsilon}_j)}(z) : \mathcal{H}_{l,k+\bar{\epsilon}_j} \to \mathcal{H}_{l,k}.$$

The opeartor $\Phi^{(a,b)}(z) = 0$ for $b - a \neq \bar{\epsilon}_j$. They satisfy the following relations.

(1)Commutation relation

$$\Phi^{(c,b)}(z_1)\Phi^{(b,a)}(z_2) = \sum_d W\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & d & \\ b & a & u_1-u_2 \end{array}\right)\Phi^{(c,d)}(z_2)\Phi^{(d,a)}(z_1). \quad (2.15)$$

(2)Inversion relation

$$\sum_g \Phi^{*(a,g)}(z)\Phi^{(g,a)}(z)=1, \quad \Phi^{(a,b)}(z)\Phi^{*(b,c)}(z)=\delta_{a,c}. \tag{2.16}$$

Later we give a free field realization of the vertex operators acting on the bosonic Fock space.

2.4 Boundary transfer matrix

We define the boundary transfer matrix

$$T_B^{(k)}(z)=\sum_{j=1,2,3}\Phi^{*(k,k+\bar{\epsilon}_j)}(z)K\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} & k & & \\ k+\bar{\epsilon}_j & & & u \\ & k & & \end{array}\right)\Phi^{(k+\bar{\epsilon}_j,k)}(z^{-1}). \tag{2.17}$$

The boundary Yang-Baxter equation implies the commutativity.

$$[T_B^{(k)}(z_1),T_B^{(k)}(z_2)]=0. \tag{2.18}$$

Our problem of this paper is to diagonalize the boundary transfer matrix $T_B^{(k)}(z)$.

3 Free field realization

We give a free field realization of the vertex operators [11, 12].

3.1 Boson

We set the bosonic oscillators $\beta_m^i,(i=1,2;m\in\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$[\beta_m^j,\beta_n^k]=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} m\dfrac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x}\dfrac{[2m]_x}{[3m]_x}\delta_{m+n,0} & (j=k)\\ -mx^{3m\ sgn(j-k)}\dfrac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x}\dfrac{[m]_x}{[3m]_x}\delta_{m+n,0} & (j\neq k).\end{array}\right. \tag{3.1}$$

Here the symbol $[a]_x=\frac{x^a-x^{-a}}{x-x^{-1}}$. Let us set β_m^3 by $\sum_{j=1}^3 x^{-2jm}\beta_m^j=0$. The above commutation relations are valid for all $1\leqq j,k\leqq 3$. We also introduce the zero-mode operators P_α,Q_α, $(\alpha\in P)$ by

$$[iP_\alpha,Q_\beta]=(\alpha|\beta), \quad (\alpha,\beta\in P). \tag{3.2}$$

In what follows we deal with the bosonic Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}(l,k\in P)$ generated by $\beta_{-m}^j(m>0)$ over the vacuum vector $|l,k\rangle$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{l,k}=\mathbb{C}[\{\beta_{-1}^j,\beta_{-2}^j,\cdots\}_{j=1,2,3}]|l,k\rangle, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta^j_m|k,l\rangle = 0, \quad (m>0),\\
&P_\alpha|l,k\rangle = \left(\alpha\left|\sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}}l-\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}}k\right.\right)|l,k\rangle,\\
&|l,k\rangle = e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}}Q_l-\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}}Q_k}|0,0\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Vertex operator

We give a free field realization of the type-I vertex operators [11], associated with the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ [13, 14]. Let us set $P(z),Q(z),R^j_-(z),S^j_-(z)(j=1,2)$ by

$$P(z)=\sum_{m>0}\frac{1}{m}\beta^1_{-m}z^m, \quad Q(z)=-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1}{m}\beta^1_m z^{-m}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$R^j_-(z)=-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1}{m}(\beta^j_{-m}-\beta^{j+1}_{-m})x^{jm}z^m, \quad S^j_-(z)=\sum_{m>0}\frac{1}{m}(\beta^j_m-\beta^{j+1}_m)x^{-jm}z^{-m}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let us set the basic operators $U(z),F_{\alpha_1}(z),F_{\alpha_2}(z)$ on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$.

$$U(z) = z^{\frac{r-1}{3r}}e^{-i\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}}Q_{\bar{\epsilon}_1}}z^{-\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}}P_{\bar{\epsilon}_1}}e^{P(z)}e^{Q(z)}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$F_{\alpha_j}(z) = z^{\frac{r-1}{r}}e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}}Q_{\alpha_j}}z^{\sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r}}P_{\alpha_j}}e^{R^j_-(z)}e^{S^j_-(z)}. \tag{3.7}$$

In what follows we set

$$\pi_\mu=\sqrt{r(r-1)}P_{\bar{\epsilon}_\mu}, \quad \pi_{\mu\nu}=\pi_\mu-\pi_\nu. \tag{3.8}$$

Then $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$ as an integer $(\epsilon_\mu-\epsilon_\nu|rl-(r-1)k)$. We give the free field realization of the type-I vertex operators.

$$\Phi_1(z) = U(z), \tag{3.9}$$

$$\Phi_2(z) = \oint_{C_1}\frac{dw_1}{w_1}U(z)F_{\alpha_1}(w_1)\frac{[v_1-u+\frac{1}{2}-\pi_{1,2}]}{[v_1-u-\frac{1}{2}]}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\Phi_3(z) = \oint\oint_{C_2}\frac{dw_1}{w_1}\frac{dw_2}{w_2}U(z)F_{\alpha_1}(w_1)F_{\alpha_2}(w_2)\frac{[v_1-u+\frac{1}{2}-\pi_{1,3}]}{[v_1-u-\frac{1}{2}]}\frac{[v_2-v_1+\frac{1}{2}-\pi_{2,3}]}{[v_2-v_1-\frac{1}{2}]}. \tag{3.11}$$

Here we set $z=x^{2u}, w_j=x^{2v_j}(j=1,2)$. We take the integration contours to be simple closed curves around the origin satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_1 : x|z|<|w_1|<x^{-1}|z|,\\
&C_2 : x|z|<|w_1|<x^{-1}|z|, \quad x|w_1|<|w_2|<x^{-1}|w_1|.
\end{aligned}$$

We identify $\Phi^{(k+\bar{\epsilon}_j,k)}(z)=\Phi_j(z)$.

Let us introduce the type-II vertex operators [12], associated with the elliptic algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$. The type-II vertex operators represents the excitations. Let us set $r^*=r-1$. Let us set the elliptic theta function $[u]^*$ by

$$[u]^*=x^{\frac{u^2}{r^*}-u}\Theta_{x^{2r^*}}(x^{2u}). \tag{3.12}$$

Let us set $P^*(z),Q^*(z),R_+^j(z),S_+^j(z)(j=1,2)$ by

$$P^*(z)=-\sum_{m>0}\frac{[rm]_x}{m[r^*m]_x}\beta_{-m}^1 z^m,\quad Q^*(z)=\sum_{m>0}\frac{[rm]_x}{m[r^*m]_x}\beta_m^1 z^{-m}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$R_+^j(z)=\sum_{m>0}\frac{[rm]_x}{m[r^*m]_x}(\beta_{-m}^j-\beta_{-m}^{j+1})x^{jm}z^m,\quad S_+^j(z)=-\sum_{m>0}\frac{[rm]_x}{m[r^*m]_x}(\beta_m^j-\beta_m^{j+1})x^{-jm}z^{-m}. \tag{3.14}$$

Let us set the basic operators $V(z),E_{\alpha_1}(z),E_{\alpha_2}(z)$ on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$.

$$\begin{aligned} V(z) &= z^{\frac{r}{3r^*}}e^{i\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}Q_{\bar{\epsilon}_1}}z^{\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}P_{\bar{\epsilon}_1}}e^{P^*(z)}e^{Q^*(z)}, && (3.15)\\ F_{\alpha_j}(z) &= z^{\frac{r}{r^*}}e^{-i\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}Q_{\alpha_j}}z^{-\sqrt{\frac{r}{r^*}}P_{\alpha_j}}e^{R_+^j(z)}e^{S_+^j(z)}. && (3.16)\end{aligned}$$

We give a free field realization of the type-II vertex operators.

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1^*(z) &= V(z), && (3.17)\\ \Psi_2^*(z) &= \oint_{\tilde{C}_1}\frac{dw_1}{w_1}V(z)E_{\alpha_1}(w_1)\frac{[v_1-u-\frac{1}{2}+\pi_{1,2}]^*}{[v_1-u+\frac{1}{2}]^*}, && (3.18)\\ \Psi_3^*(z) &= \oint\oint_{\tilde{C}_2}\frac{dw_1}{w_1}\frac{dw_2}{w_2}V(z)E_{\alpha_1}(w_1)E_{\alpha_2}(w_2)\frac{[v_1-u-\frac{1}{2}+\pi_{1,3}]^*}{[v_1-u+\frac{1}{2}]^*}\frac{[v_2-v_1-\frac{1}{2}+\pi_{2,3}]^*}{[v_2-v_1+\frac{1}{2}]^*}. && (3.19)\end{aligned}$$

The integration contours $\tilde{C}_1$ encloses the poles at $w_1=x^{-1+2sr^*}z,(s=0,1,2,\cdots)$, but not the poles at $w_1=x^{1-2sr^*}z,(s=0,1,2,\cdots)$. The integration of $\Psi_3^*(z)$ is carried out in the order w_2,w_1 along the contours $\tilde{C}_2$ which encloses the poles the poles at $w_{j+1}=x^{-1+2sr^*}w_j,(s=0,1,2,\cdots;j=1,2)$, but not the poles at $w_{j+1}=x^{1-2sr^*}w_j,(s=0,1,2,\cdots;j=1,2)$. Here we set $w_0=z$. Let us set the type-II Boltzmann weights $W^*\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a & b\\ c & d\end{array}\right| u\right)$ are given by

$$W^*\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a+2\bar{\epsilon}_\mu & a+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu\\ a+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu & a\end{array}\right| u\right)=r_1^*(u), \tag{3.20}$$

$$W^*\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc} a+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu+\bar{\epsilon}_\nu & a+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu\\ a+\bar{\epsilon}_\nu & a\end{array}\right| u\right)=r_1^*(u)\frac{[u]^*[a_{\mu,\nu}-1]^*}{[u-1]^*[a_{\mu,\nu}]^*}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} a+\bar{\epsilon}_\mu+\bar{\epsilon}_\nu & a+\bar{\epsilon}_\nu & \\ a+\bar{\epsilon}_\nu & a & u \end{array}\right)=r_1^*(u)\frac{[u-a_{\mu,\nu}]^*[1]^*}{[u-1]^*[a_{\mu,\nu}]^*}. \tag{3.22}$$

Otherwise are zero. The function $r_1^*(u)$ is given by

$$r_1^*(u) \quad = \quad z^{\frac{r}{r^*}\frac{2}{3}}\frac{h_1^*(z^{-1})}{h^*(z)}, \quad h_1^*(z)=\frac{(z;x^{2r^*},x^6)_\infty(x^4z;x^{2r^*},x^6)_\infty}{(x^{2r^*}z;x^{2r^*},x^6)_\infty(x^6z;x^{2r^*},x^6)_\infty}. \tag{3.23}$$

The Boltzmann weights satisfy the following relations.

(1)Yang-Baxter equation :

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_g W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} d & e & \\ c & g & u_1 \end{array}\right)W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & g & \\ b & a & u_2 \end{array}\right)W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} e & f & \\ g & a & u_1-u_2 \end{array}\right)\\ =&\sum_g W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} g & f & \\ b & a & u_1 \end{array}\right)W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} d & e & \\ g & f & u_2 \end{array}\right)W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} d & g & \\ c & b & u_1-u_2 \end{array}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

(2)The first inversion relation :

$$\sum_g W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & g & \\ b & a & -u \end{array}\right)W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} c & d & \\ g & a & u \end{array}\right)=\delta_{b,d}. \tag{3.25}$$

(3)The second inversion relation :

$$\sum_g G_g^* W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} g & b & \\ d & c & 3-u \end{array}\right)W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} g & d & \\ b & a & u \end{array}\right)=\delta_{a,c}\frac{G_b^*G_d^*}{G_a^*}. \tag{3.26}$$

where $G_a^*=[a_{1,2}]^*[a_{1,3}]^*[a_{2,3}]^*$. The type-II vertex operators satisfy the following relations.

$$\Phi_{\mu_1}^*(z_1)\Phi_{\mu_2}^*(z_2)=\sum_{\epsilon_{\mu_1'}+\epsilon_{\mu_2'}=\epsilon_{\mu_1}+\epsilon_{\mu_2}} W^*\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} k+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_1}+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_2} & k+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_1'} & \\ k+\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu_2} & k & u_2-u_1 \end{array}\right)\Phi_{\mu_2'}^*(z_2)\Phi_{\mu_1'}^*(z_1). \tag{3.27}$$

The type-I and type-II vertex operators commute modulo the "energy function" $\chi(z)$.

$$\Phi_{\mu_1}(z_1)\Psi_{\mu_2}^*(z_2)=\chi(z_1/z_2)\Psi_{\mu_2}^*(z_2)\Phi_{\mu_1}(z_1). \tag{3.28}$$

Here we have set

$$\chi(z)=z^{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{(x^5z^{-1};x^6)_\infty(xz;x^6)_\infty}{(xz^{-1};x^6)_\infty(x^5z;x^6)_\infty}. \tag{3.29}$$

4 Boundary state

In this section we construct the free field realization of the ground state of the boundary transfer matrix of the $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ ABF model, which give higher-rank generalization of [7]. We construct the free field realization of the ground state on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$. Precisely the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$ is different from the space of the state $\mathcal{H}_{l,k}$. The space of state $\mathcal{H}_{l,k}$ is obtained by the cohomological argument from the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$ by using the so-called screening operators. In this paper we omit the detailed of the screening operators and consider the operators acting on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{l,k}$.

4.1 Bogoliubov transformation

The commutation relations of bosons β_m^j are not symmetric. Hence it is convenient to introduce new generators of bosons α_m^1, α_m^2 whose commutation relations are symmetric.

$$\alpha_m^1 = x^{-m}(\beta_m^1 - \beta_m^2), \quad \alpha_m^2 = x^{-2m}(\beta_m^2 - \beta_m^3). \tag{4.1}$$

They satisfy the following commutation relations.

$$[\alpha_m^j, \alpha_n^k] = \begin{cases} m\dfrac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x}\dfrac{[2m]_x}{[m]_x}\delta_{m+n,0} & (j=k) \\ -m\dfrac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x}\delta_{m+n,0} & (j \neq k). \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Let us set

$$F_0 = -\sum_{m>0}\frac{1}{m}\frac{[rm]_x}{[(r-1)m]_x[3m]_x}([2m]_x\alpha_{-m}^1\alpha_{-m}^1 + 2[m]_x\alpha_{-m}^1\alpha_{-m}^2 + [2m]_x\alpha_{-m}^2\alpha_{-m}^2). \tag{4.3}$$

The adjioint action of e^{F_0} has the effect of a Bogoliubov transformation,

$$e^{-F_0}\alpha_m^j e^{F_0} = \alpha_m^j - \alpha_{-m}^j \quad (m>0, j=1,2), \tag{4.4}$$

$$e^{-F_0}\alpha_{-m}^j e^{F_0} = \alpha_{-m}^j \quad (m>0, j=1,2), \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$e^{-F_0}\beta_m^1 e^{F_0} = -\beta_{-m}^1 \quad (m>0), \tag{4.6}$$

$$e^{-F_0}\beta_m^2 e^{F_0} = (x^{2m}-1)\beta_{-m}^1 - x^{2m}\beta_{-m}^2 \quad (m>0), \tag{4.7}$$

$$e^{-F_0}\beta_{-m}^j e^{F_0} = \beta_{-m}^j \quad (m>0, j=1,2). \tag{4.8}$$

Proposition 4.1

$$e^{-F_0}e^{Q(z)}e^{F_0} = \sqrt{\frac{(x^{2r+4}z^{-2};x^{2r},x^6)_\infty(x^2z^{-2};x^{2r},x^6)_\infty}{(x^{2r}z^{-2};x^{2r},x^6)_\infty(x^6z^{-2};x^{2r},x^6)_\infty}}e^{P(1/z)}e^{Q(z)}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$e^{-F_0}e^{S_-^j(z)}e^{F_0} = \sqrt{\frac{(1-z^{-2})(x^{2r-2}z^{-2};x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^2z^{-2};x^{2r})_\infty}}e^{R_-^j(1/z)}e^{S_-^j(z)} \quad (j=1,2). \tag{4.10}$$

4.2 Boundary state

Let us set the bosonic operator F by

$$F = F_0 + F_1, \tag{4.11}$$

where F_0 is given in (4.3) and F_1 is given by

$$F_1 = \sum_{m>0} (D_1(m)\beta^1_{-m} + D_2(m)\beta^2_{-m}). \tag{4.12}$$

Here we set

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(m) &= \frac{x^{-m}[(r-2c+2-2\pi_{1,3})m]_x - [(r-2c-1)m]_x + x^{(r-2c-2\pi_{1,2})m}[m]_x}{m[(r-1)m]_x} \\
&- \theta_m \left(\frac{x^{-\frac{m}{2}}[m]_x[rm/2]^+_x}{m[(r-1)m/2]_x} \right), \qquad (4.13) \\
D_2(m) &= \frac{x^m([(r-2c+2-2\pi_{1,3})m]_x - [(r-2c-2\pi_{1,2})m]_x)}{m[(r-1)m]_x} - \theta_m \left(\frac{x^m[rm/2]^+_x[m/2]_x}{m[(r-1)m/2]_x} \right), \qquad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$[a]^+_x = a^x + a^{-x}, \quad \theta_m(x) = \begin{cases} x & (m : even) \\ 0 & (m : odd) \end{cases}.$$

Let us set the vector

$$|B\rangle_{l,k} = e^F |l, k\rangle. \tag{4.15}$$

We call this vector $|B\rangle_{l,k}$ the boundary state.

Proposition 4.2 *The boundary state $|B\rangle_{l,k}$ has the following properties.*

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{Q(z)}|B\rangle_{l.k} &= h(z)e^{P(1/z)}|B\rangle_{l,k}, \qquad (4.16) \\
e^{S^j_-(w)}|B\rangle_{l,k} &= g_j(w)e^{R^j_-(1/w)}|B\rangle_{l,k}, \ (j = 1, 2). \qquad (4.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the fuctions $h(z), g_j(w)(j = 1, 2)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
h(z) &= \frac{(x^{2r+4}z^{-2}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty (x^8 z^{-2}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty}{(x^{12}z^{-2}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty (x^{2r}z^{-2}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty} \\
&\times \frac{(x^{2r+-2c+4}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty (x^{2c+2}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty}{(x^{2r-2c}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty (x^{2c+6}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty} \\
&\times \frac{(x^{2r+6-2c+2\pi_{1,2}}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty (x^{2c+2\pi_{1,2}}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty}{(x^{2r+4-2c-2\pi_{1,2}}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty (x^{2c+2+2\pi_{1,2}}z^{-1}; x^{2r}, x^{12})_\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \quad \frac{(x^{2r+6-2c+2\pi_{1,3}}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_\infty (x^{2c+2\pi_{1,3}}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_\infty}{(x^{2r+4-2c-2\pi_{1,3}}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_\infty (x^{2c+2+2\pi_{1,3}}z^{-1};x^{2r},x^{12})_\infty}, \tag{4.18}$$

$$g_1(w) = (1-1/w^2)\frac{(x^{2c+1}/w;x^{2r})_\infty (x^{2r-2c-2\pi_{1,2}+1}/w;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{2c+2\pi_{1,2}-1}/w;x^{2r})_\infty (x^{2r-2c-1}/w;x^{2r})_\infty}, \tag{4.19}$$

$$g_2(w) = (1-1/w^2)\frac{(x^{2c+2\pi_{1,2}}/w;x^{2r})_\infty (x^{2r-2c+2-2\pi_{1,3}}/w;x^{2r})_\infty}{(x^{2c+2\pi_{1,3}-2}/w;x^{2r})_\infty (x^{2r-2c-2\pi_{1,2}}/w;x^{2r})_\infty}. \tag{4.20}$$

The parameters $l, k \in P$ are determined by the boundary conditions. The parameter k represents the central height. The parameter l represents the asymptotic boundary height. In what follows we consider the case $k = l \in P$ for simplicity. For more general $l, k \in P$, there exist similar boundary state. We constract the eigenvector of the commuting boundary transfer matrix, $[T_B^{(k)}(z_1), T_B^{(k)}(z_2)] = 0$. The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.3 *The boundary state $|B\rangle_{k,k}$ is the eigenvector of boundary transfer matrix $T_B^{(k)}(z)$.*

$$T_B^{(k)}(z)|B\rangle_{k,k} = |B\rangle_{k,k}. \tag{4.21}$$

Corollary 4.4 *Using the type-II vertex operators, we get general eigenvectors of the boundary transfer matrix $T_B^{(k)}(z)$.*

$$\begin{aligned} & T_B^{(k)}(z) \cdot \Psi^*_{\mu_1}(\xi_1) \cdots \Psi^*_{\mu_M}(\xi_M)|B\rangle_{k,k} \\ = & \prod_{j=1}^{M} \chi(1/z\xi_j)\chi(\xi_j/z) \cdot \Psi^*_{\mu_1}(\xi_1) \cdots \Psi^*_{\mu_M}(\xi_M)|B\rangle_{k,k}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

where $\chi(z)$ is given by (3.29).

Here we sketch proof of main theorem 4.3. Acting the vertex operator $\Phi^{(k+\bar{\epsilon}_j,k)}(z)$ to the condition $T_B^{(k)}|B\rangle_{k,k} = |B\rangle_{k,k}$ from the left, we get the following necessary and sufficient condition.

$$z^{\frac{1}{r}(-\frac{r-1}{3}+(\bar{\epsilon}_1|k))}h(z)[c-u][\pi_{1,j}+c+u]\Phi_j(z^{-1})|B\rangle_{k,k} = (z \leftrightarrow z^{-1}) \quad (j=1,2,3). \tag{4.23}$$

Here we used the inversion relation of the type-I vertex operators. In the case of $j = 1$, after some calculation, LHS becomes the following

$$h(z)h(z^{-1})[c-u][c+u]e^{P(z)+P(1/z)}|B\rangle_{k,k},$$

which is invariant $z \leftrightarrow z^{-1}$. Hence the relation (4.23) for $j=1$ holds. In the case of $j=2,3$, after some calculation as similar as [9], the relation (4.23) are reduced to the following theta identity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{[v+k+c-\frac{1}{2}][v-c-\frac{1}{2}]}{[-v+k+c-\frac{1}{2}][-v-c-\frac{1}{2}]} \qquad (4.24)\\
=\ &\frac{[c-u][k+c+u][u-v+\frac{1}{2}-k][-v-u+\frac{1}{2}]-[c+u][k+c-u][-u-v+\frac{1}{2}-k][-v+u+\frac{1}{2}]}{[c-u][k+c+u][u+v+\frac{1}{2}-k][v-u+\frac{1}{2}]-[c+u][k+c-u][-u+v+\frac{1}{2}-k][v+u+\frac{1}{2}]}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Later the author will write complete proof of this theorem, $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(N,\mathbb{C}))$ version and generalization of asymptotic boundary condition $l \in P$ in the another place [15]. Our proof is different from those given in [7] even for $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$ case.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the organizing committee of VIII-th International Workshop Lie theory and its applications in Physics, held in Varna, Bulgaria 2009. This work is partly supported by the Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research **C**(21540228) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

A Some formule

$$
\begin{aligned}
&h(z)=\\
&\exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1}{2m}\frac{[(r-1)m]_x[2m]_x}{[rm]_x[3m]_x}z^{-2m}-\sum_{m>0}\frac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x}\left(\frac{[2m]_x}{[3m]_x}D_1(m)-\frac{x^{-3m}[m]_x}{[3m]_x}D_2(m)\right)z^{-m}\right),\\
&g_1(z)=\exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1}{2m}\frac{[(r-1)m]_x(x^m+x^{-m})}{[rm]_x}z^{-2m}+\sum_{m>0}\frac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x}(D_1(m)-x^{-2m}D_2(m))z^{-m}\right),\\
&g_2(z)=\exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1}{2m}\frac{[(r-1)m]_x(x^m+x^{-m})}{[rm]_x}z^{-2m}+\sum_{m>0}\frac{[(r-1)m]_x}{[rm]_x}D_2(m)x^{-m}z^{-m}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

References

[1] B.Davis, O.Foda, M.Jimbo, T.Miwa and A.Nakayashiki, Diagonalization of the XXZ Hamiltonian by vertex operators, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **151**, 89- (1993).

[2] M.Jimbo and T.Miwa, Algebraic Analysis of Solvable Lattice Models, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol **85**, Providence, RI:AMS, (1994).

[3] E.K.Sklyanin, Boundary condition for integrable quantum system, *J.Phys.***A21**, 2375- (1988).

[4] G.E.Andrews, R.J.Baxter and P.J.Forerester, Eight-vertex SOS model and generalized Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities, *J.Stat.Phys.***35**, 193- (1984).

[5] M.Jimbo, T.Miwa and M.Okado, Local state probabilities of solvable lattice models : an $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ family, *Nucl.Phys.***B300** [FS22], 74- (1988).

[6] M.Jimbo,R.Kedem,T.Kojima,H.Konno and T.Miwa, XXZ chain with a boundary, *Nucl.Phys.***B441**[FS], 437- (1995).

[7] T.Miwa and R.Weston, Boundary ABF models, *Nucl.Phys.***B486**[PM], 517- (1997).

[8] M.T.Batchelor, V.Fridkin, A.Kuniba, Y.K.Zhou, Solutions of the reflection equation for face and vertex models associated with $A_n^{(1)}, B_n^{(1)}, C_n^{(1)}$ and $A_n^{(2)}$, *Phys.Lett.***B376** no.4, 266- (1996).

[9] H.Furutsu and T.Kojima, The $U_q(\widehat{sl_n})$ analogue of the XXZ chain with a boundary, *J.Math.Phys.* **41**, no.7, 4413- (2000).

[10] S.Lukyanov and Ya.Pugai, Multi-point local height probabilities in the integrable RSOS model,*Nucl.Phys.***B473**[FS], 631- (1996).

[11] Y.Asai, M.Jimbo, T.Miwa, Ya.Pugai, Bosonization of vertex operators for the $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ face model, *J.Phys.***A29**, 6595- (1996).

[12] H.Furutsu, T.Kojima and Y.Quano, Type-II vertex operators for the $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ face model, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.***A15**,no.10, 1533- (2000).

[13] M.Jimbo, H.Konno, S.Odake and J.Shiraishi : Elliptic Algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_2})$: Drinfeld currents and vertex operators, *Commun.Math.Phys.***199**, 605- (1999).

[14] T.Kojima and H.Konno : The Elliptic Algebra $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl_N})$ and the Drinfeld Realization of the Elliptic Quantum Group $\mathcal{B}_{q,\lambda}(\widehat{sl_N})$, *Commun.Math.Phys.***237**, 405- (2003).

[15] T.Kojima , in preparation.